arXiv:1604.04084v2 [math.GR] 16 Sep 2016

SYMMETRIC GENERATION OF M_{22}

ZAHID HASAN AND BRONSON LIM

Abstract. We give a computer-free proof that the Mathieu group M_{22} is a homomorphic image of the progenitor $2^{\star 14} : L_3(2)$ factorized by three relations.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Symmetric generation of Mathieu groups.** We construct a symmetric presentation for the middle Mathieu group M_{22}. The history of M_{22}, and its siblings, is of independent interest. To see how this article fits into the timeline it is worthwhile to recall it.

The Mathieu groups, $M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24}$, comprise a family of five sporadic simple groups. Their discovery by Émile Mathieu in 1861 and 1873, [Mat61], [Mat73], caused an uproar with even their existence being questioned. Since these original papers, there have been several alternative constructions which we mention presently.

Witt, [Wit37], constructed the Mathieu groups as successive transitive extensions of linear groups. Specifically, he used $L_3(4)$ for the large Mathieu groups and $L_2(9)$ for the small ones. From this description it is relatively easy to check the Mathieu groups are all simple and one can compute various properties such as their order. In a related way, Witt also proved the Mathieu groups are automorphism groups of Steiner systems.

Curtis found that the groups M_{12} and M_{24} have a highly symmetric generating set, [Cur92]. From this generating set Curtis showed that these groups were homorphic images of certain semi-direct products called progenitors, see Section 2.1. The group presentations constructed with symmetric generation are called symmetric presentations. Finding and using symmetric presentations has proved fruitful when dealing with larger finite groups, particularly those related to the larger sporadic groups.

For example, Curtis used symmetric generation to give a new proof of the existence of the first Janko group J_1, [Cur93]. Elements of the Janko group are exhibited as a word of length no more than 4 followed by an element of $L_2(11)$. This is a feat in and of itself, given that the smallest permutation representation of J_1 is on 266 letters. Later Curtis and Hasan, [CH96], developed an algorithm for multiplying elements using the symmetric presentation.

Even more impressive is the use of symmetric generation to represent elements of the Conway group $\cdot$O as a string of 64 symbols, [CF09]. This is a vast improvement over the prior smallest matrix representation, which is 24 dimensional (so 576 symbols), or the smallest permutation representation, which is on 195,560 points.

Although many symmetric presentations are known, finding them is not straightforward. There are sporadic groups which currently have no known symmetric presentation, [Fai11a, Section 6]. These include the Thompson group, Baby Monster, and the Monster. A symmetric presentation for the Mathieu groups M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{23}, and M_{24} can be found in [Cur07,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F05; Secondary 20D05.

Sections 1,2, and 7]. In the present article we construct the first involutory symmetric presentation of M_{22} using the transitive 14 point action of $L_3(2)$ and in doing so we finish the Mathieu family.

1.2. **Outline of paper.** In Section 2 we review symmetric generation of groups and prove the Mathieu group M_{22} is symmetrically generated. In Section 3 we show our group $\mathcal{G}$ possesses a (maximal) subgroup $\mathcal{M} \cong 2^3 : L_3(2)$ and collect relations. In Section 4 we perform manual double coset enumeration of $\mathcal{G}$ into double cosets of the form $\mathcal{M}\omega\mathcal{N}$. This is an extension of the technique developed in [Cur07], first appearing in [Wie03]. In Section 5 we prove the Main Theorem. In Section 6 we discuss how our presentation relates to the standard one, list the maximal subgroups, and discuss other homomorphic images.

Acknowledgements. We thank the referee for his/her invaluable input on an earlier version of this manuscript.

2. Preliminaries on symmetric generation and M_{22}

Throughout the paper, we use the standard Atlas notation for finite groups and related concepts as described in [CCN+85].

2.1. **Progenitors and symmetric generation.** For a detailed discussion regarding the history of progenitors see [Cur07]. We begin with the definition.

Denote by $2^{\star n}$ the nth free product of the cyclic group, C_2, with itself, i.e. $2^{\star n} := C_2 \star \cdots \star C_2$. Picking generators $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ for the n copies of C_2, we can write $2^{\star n} := \langle t_1 \rangle \star \cdots \star \langle t_n \rangle \cong \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \mid t_i^2 = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n \rangle$.

The symmetric group, Σ_n, acts naturally on $2^{\star n}$ by permuting the generators. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a transitive subgroup of Σ_n, which we call the *control group*. Define $\mathcal{P}$ to be the associated split extension:

$$\mathcal{P} = 2^{\star n} : \mathcal{N}.$$

Then $\mathcal{P}$ is called a *progenitor* and the elements t_i are called *symmetric generators* [Cur07, Wie03][1].

Any element of $\mathcal{P}$ can be written in the form $\pi\omega$, where $\pi \in \mathcal{N}$ and ω is a word in the symmetric generators. We obtain a distinguished copy of $\mathcal{N}$ in $\mathcal{P}$ by taking ω to be the empty word. We will also refer to this subgroup as the control group.

An epimorphic image of $\phi : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{G}$ of a progenitor $\mathcal{P}$ is called *symmetrically generated* if

- The restriction to the control subgroup is an isomorphism onto its image.
- The $\phi(t_i)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, are distinct involutions.
- The set $\{\phi(t_i) \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ generate $\mathcal{G}$: $\mathcal{G} = \langle \phi(t_i) \rangle_{i=1,\ldots,n}$.

If $\mathcal{G}$ is symmetrically generated, we will abuse notation and write t_i for both the symmetric generator in $\mathcal{P}$ and its homomorphic image in $\mathcal{G}$. All of our computations will take place inside $\mathcal{G}$ so this should not cause confusion.

What we have called a symmetrically generated group is not the always the definition used. One does not always require the stronger condition that the t_i generate $\mathcal{G}$. There are examples, e.g. [Cur07, Table 3.7-9], where one also needs $\phi(\mathcal{N})$ as well. However, our construction will satisfy this condition so we include it.

[1]There is a more general notion of progenitor where one replaces C_2 with an arbitrary finite group H, see [Cur07, Section III] or [Fai11a]. We will not need this here.

If $\mathcal{G}$ is symmetrically generated, we say the set $\{t_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ is a *symmetric generating set* and the corresponding quotient

$$\mathcal{P}/\ker(\phi) \cong \mathcal{G}$$

a *symmetric presentation* of $\mathcal{G}$.

As a corollary of the Feit-Thompson odd order theorem, any finite non-Abelian simple group is symmetrically generated [Cur07, Lemma 3.6].

Symmetrically generated groups often arise by factoring progenitors by relations between words in the symmetric generators and permutations. That is, if $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_m \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m$ are words in the t_is, we define

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\pi_1\omega_1, \ldots, \pi_m\omega_m}$$

to mean the quotient of $\mathcal{P}$ be the normal closure of $\langle \pi_1\omega_1, \ldots, \pi_m\omega_m \rangle$ in $\mathcal{P}$.

2.2. **Symmetric generation of M_{22}.** The main theorem is motivated by the following proposition. The reader is referred to [CCN+85] for the permutation representations used in this section. We will look inside the group structure of M_{22} for a symmetric generating set consisting of involutions with control group $L_3(2)$.

Proposition 2.1. There exist a symmetric generating set $T = \{t_1, ..., t_{14}\}$ of M_{22}, such that $|t_i| = 2$.

Proof. Consider the permutation representation of M_{22} on 176 letters given by the action of M_{22} on the set of cosets of the maximal subgroup A_7. Within the maximal subgroup $2^3 : L_3(2)$ there are three class of subgroups isomorphic to $L_3(2) = \mathcal{N}$. In one of these classes there exists a point stabilizer isomorphic to A_4 such that $C_{M_{22}}(A_4) \cong A_4$.

Take an element of order 2 in the centralizer, say $t \in C_{M_{22}}(A_4)$. We compute $\mathcal{N}^t = C_{\mathcal{N}}(t) = A_4$. Thus $|t^{\mathcal{N}}| = |\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{N}^t| = |\mathcal{N} : A_4| = 14$, so t has 14 conjugates under the action of $\mathcal{N}$. We can label these conjugates as $t_1, ..., t_{14}$ so that the generators x and y of $L_3(2)$ act as $x = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)(8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14)$ and $y = (1, 12)(2, 3)(4, 11)(5, 8)(6, 13)(9, 10)$ on $\{t_1, ..., t_{14}\}$.

We must show the elements t_i generate M_{22}. Define $H = \langle t_1, ..., t_{14} \rangle$. Since $L_3(2)$ permutes the t_i we have $L_3(2) \leq N_{M_{22}}(H)$. Furthermore, $2^3 : L_3(2) \cong \langle L_3(2), t_1t_8 \rangle$ is also a subgroup of the normalizer $N_{M_{22}}(H)$ since t_i normalize H. But $2^3 : L_3(2) \neq N_{M_{22}}(H)$, since $t_1 \notin 2^3 : L_3(2)$. This implies that the maximal subgroup $2^3 : L_3(2)$ is a proper subgroup of $N_{M_{22}}(H)$. This can only happen if H is normal. As H is nontrivial, it must be the entire group. □

Remark 2.1. In terms of the standard permutation representation of M_{22} on 22 letters we have

$$\begin{aligned} t &= (2, 10)(3, 11)(4, 19)(5, 22)(6, 18)(7, 14)(9, 15)(12, 13) \\ x &= (1, 12, 14, 10, 8, 17, 15)(2, 18, 22, 13, 3, 7, 9)(5, 6, 19, 21, 20, 11, 16) \\ y &= (2, 9)(3, 4)(5, 6)(7, 13)(10, 15)(11, 19)(12, 14)(18, 22) \\ \mathcal{N} &= \langle x, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.1 we have $M_{22} = \langle \mathcal{N}, t \rangle$.

2.3. **The progenitor considered.** In lieu of Proposition 2.1, we construct the progenitor $2^{*14} : L_3(2)$

$$2^{*14} : L_3(2) = \langle x, y, t | x^7, y^2, (xy)^3, [x, y]^4, t^2, [t^{x^2}, yx^{-1}], [t, y] \rangle.$$

The permutation representation, $L_3(2) \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{14}$, is discussed in Section 2.4. Our main result is:

Main Theorem. *The Mathieu group M_{22} is a homomorphic image of the progenitor $2^{*14} : L_3(2)$. In particular, the group*

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{2^{\star 14} : L_3(2)}{(yt^{x^2})^5, (xyx^2t^x)^5, (xt)^8}$$

is isomorphic to M_{22}.

We have the following direct corollary of Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.1:

Corollary 2.1. $|\mathcal{G}| \geq 443,520$.

Proof. One computes that the relations defining $\mathcal{G}$ are satisfied by the x, y, t defined in Remark 2.1. □

2.4. **14 point action of $L_3(2)$.** Let $\mathcal{N} = L_3(2)$ be the automorphism group of the Fano plane, $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. The permutation representation used in this paper is not the standard one, the action on the 7 points of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, as it is on 14 points.

The stabilizer of any of the seven points in the Fano plane has an index 2 subgroup isomorphic to A_4. Taking the coset action of $L_3(2)$ on A_4 gives the 14 point action considered here. Since the A_4s are not maximal, this action is imprimitive with two blocks of size seven.

Label the points of the Fano plane $1, \ldots, 7$. In terms of the cosets above, these points correspond to the isomorphic copy of $A_4 \subset \mathcal{N}^i$ in the stabilizer of each point. We will view the other cosets in each point stabilizer as the number $i + 7$, e.g. the non-A_4 coset in the point stabilizer $\mathcal{N}^1$ is 8.

The presentation $L_3(2) = \langle x, y \mid x^7, y^2, (xy)^3, [x, y]^4 \rangle$ is standard. With our action, we may choose x to be the permutation

$$x \sim (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)(8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14)$$

and it is possible to take the generating involution, y, to be

$$y \sim (1, 12)(2, 3)(4, 11)(5, 8)(6, 13)(9, 10).$$

In Figure 1, we have labelled each vertex appropriately. This labelling will be important later when we are proving relations.

This permutation representation is transitive. The point stabilizer of any of the points, say 7, has three orbits: $\{\{7\}, \{14\}, \{1, \ldots, 6, 8 \ldots, 13\}\}$. If two points do not lie over the same vertex, as in Figure 1, then their two point stabilizer is trivial. If they do lie over the same vertex, say $\{1, 8\}$, then the two point stabilizer is isomorphic to A_4.

2.5. **Notation.** We use the permutation action above and the association $t = t_7$ to define $2^{\star 14} : L_3(2)$. Then the group in question is:

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{2^{\star 14} : L_3(2)}{(yt^{x^2})^5, (xyx^2t^x)^5, (xt)^8}.$$




FIGURE 1. The Fano Plane

We make the following definitions:

$$s_i = t_i t_{i+7} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 14;$$
$$\mathcal{N} = \langle x, y \rangle \cong L_3(2);$$
$$\mathcal{M} = \langle x, y, s_i \rangle = \langle x, y, s_1 \rangle.$$

The subscript is understood to be taken modulo 14, i.e. $t_{15} = t_1$.

We denote the *point stabilizer* in $\mathcal{N}$ of the points $i_1, ..., i_j$ by $\mathcal{N}^{i_1 \ldots i_j}$ and the *coset stabilizer* of $\mathcal{M}t_{i_1}, ..., t_{i_j}$ by $\mathcal{N}^{(i_1 \ldots i_j)}$. Neccesarily we have $\mathcal{N}^{i_1 \ldots i_j} \leq \mathcal{N}^{(i_1 \ldots i_j)}$ but this containment is typically proper. For two words ω_1 and ω_2 in the t_is we define the equivalence relation $\omega_1 \sim \omega_2$ if $\mathcal{M}\omega_1 = \mathcal{M}\omega_2$.

2.6. **Outline of proof.** We show $\mathcal{G}$ acts faithfully, transitively, and primitively on the set of cosets $\{\mathcal{M}\omega\}$. We then use Iwasawa's lemma, [Iwa41, Wil09], to deduce $\mathcal{G}$ is simple. We also show $\mathcal{G}$ has order 443,520. This is sufficient to conclude $\mathcal{G} \cong M_{22}$.

3. $\mathcal{M} \cong 2^3 : L_3(2)$

We prove $\mathcal{M} \cong 2^3 : L_3(2)$. We do so by proving the s_i generate a group isomorphic to 2^3. We also collect relations that will be used when proving coset collapsing later on.

3.1. **Immediate relations.** Recall our notational conventions: we write t_i for the homomorphic image of t_i in $\mathcal{G}$ and $t = t_7$.

The relation $(yt^{x^2})^5 = \text{Id}$ implies $y = t_2 t_3 t_2 t_3 t_2$. This gives a family of relations $y = (t_2 t_3 t_2 t_3 t_2)^g$ where $g \in C_{\mathcal{N}}(y)$ centralizes y. The complete list is

$$\begin{aligned} y &= t_2 t_3 t_2 t_3 t_2 = t_1 t_{12} t_1 t_{12} t_1 = t_9 t_{10} t_9 t_{10} t_9 = t_5 t_8 t_5 t_8 t_5 \\ &= t_3 t_2 t_3 t_2 t_3 = t_{12} t_1 t_{12} t_1 t_{12} = t_{10} t_9 t_{10} t_9 t_{10} = t_8 t_5 t_8 t_5 t_8. \end{aligned}$$

Conjugating these relations gives us, for $i \neq j$,

$$\alpha_{i,j} = t_i t_j t_i t_j t_i \tag{1}$$

for some involution $\alpha_{i,j} \in \mathcal{N}$. For example, $y = \alpha_{2,3} = \alpha_{5,8} = \alpha_{9,10} = \alpha_{10,9}$. Notice also that $\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_{j,i}$ for $i \neq j$.

The relation $(xyx^2t^x)^5 = \mathrm{Id}$ implies $(xyx^2)^{-1} = t_1t_{12}t_8t_5t_1$. The permutation $(xyx^2)^{-1}$ is of order 4 and the centralizer in $\mathcal{N}$ is the cyclic of order 4. Conjugation then gives

$$(xyx^2)^{-1} = t_1t_{12}t_8t_5t_1 = t_5t_1t_{12}t_8t_5 = t_8t_5t_1t_{12}t_8 = t_{12}t_8t_5t_1t_{12}.$$

Acting by $\mathcal{N}$ gives us a family of relations

$$\beta_{i,j,\bar{i}} = t_it_jt_{\bar{i}}t_{\bar{j}}t_i = t_{\bar{j}}t_it_jt_{\bar{i}}t_{\bar{j}} \tag{2}$$

where $\bar{i} \equiv_{14} i + 7$. For example, $(xyx^2)^{-1} = \beta_{1,12,8} = \beta_{5,1,12} = \beta_{8,5,1} = \beta_{12,8,5}$.

3.2. **The isomorphism $\mathcal{M} \cong 2^3 : L_3(2)$.** To see the isomorphism between the subgroup $\mathcal{M}$ and the holomorphism group $2^3 : L_3(2)$, we only need to establish $\langle s_i \rangle \cong 2^3$. The semidirect product structure is then inhertied from $\mathcal{G}$. Recall, $s_i = t_it_{i+7}$, i.e. $s_1 = t_1t_8$ and $s_8 = t_8t_1$.

Lemma 3.1. *The elements s_i are involutions and $s_i = s_{i+7}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 7$.*

Proof. It suffices to show s_8 is an involution. We use Relation (1):

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{1,12} &= t_1t_{12}t_1t_{12}t_1 = t_1t_{12}t_8t_5t_5t_8t_1t_{12}t_1 \\ &= (t_1t_{12}t_8)t_5t_5t_8t_1t_{12}t_1 = \beta_{1,12,8}t_1t_5t_8t_1t_{12}t_1\end{aligned}$$

so that $t_8t_1 = \beta_{1,12,8}^{-1}\alpha_{1,12}t_5t_8t_1t_{12}$. We then have:

$$\begin{aligned}s_8^2 &= t_8t_1t_8t_1 = t_8t_1\beta_{1,12,8}^{-1}\alpha_{1,12}t_5t_8t_1t_{12} \\ &= \beta_{1,12,8}^{-1}\alpha_{1,12}t_1(t_8t_5t_8)t_1t_{12} = \beta_{1,12,8}^{-1}\alpha_{1,12}t_1(\alpha_{8,5}t_8t_5)t_1t_{12} \\ &= \beta_{1,12,8}^{-1}\alpha_{1,12}\alpha_{8,5}t_{12}t_8t_5t_1t_{12} = \beta_{1,12,8}^{-1}\alpha_{1,12}\alpha_{8,5}\beta_{12,8,5} = \mathrm{Id}\,.\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that in the proof of Lemma 3.1, the relation $t_8t_1 = \beta_{1,12,8}^{-1}\alpha_{1,12}t_5t_8t_1t_{12}$ implies $t_5t_8t_1 = \beta_{1,12,8}^{-1}\alpha_{1,12}t_8t_1t_{12}$ tells us how to move the symmetric generators past the elements s_i. We deduce the family of relations:

$$\delta_{i,j}s_it_j = t_{\bar{j}}s_i, \tag{3}$$

where again $\bar{j} \equiv_{14} j + 7$. For example, $\delta_{1,12} = \beta_{1,12,8}^{-1}\alpha_{1,12}$. The $\delta_{i,j}$ are involutions and $\delta_{i,j}$ pointwise fixes $\{j, \bar{j}\}$ and interchanges i with $\bar{i}$. So if we conjugate Relation (3) by $t_{\bar{j}}$ we get

$$\delta_{i,j}t_{\bar{j}}s_i = s_it_j$$

which implies $\delta_{i,j} = \delta_{i,\bar{j}}^{-1} = \delta_{i,\bar{j}}$. Moreover, since $s_i = s_{i+7}$, we have $\delta_{i,j} = \delta_{\bar{i},j}$.

Lemma 3.2. *The group $\langle s_i \rangle_{i=1,\ldots,7}$ is a commutative 2-group.*

Proof. It is enough to show $s_1s_7 = s_7s_1$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}s_1s_7 &= t_1(t_8s_7) = t_1\delta_{1,7}s_7t_1 \\ &= \delta_{1,7}(t_1s_7)t_1 = \delta_{1,7}^2s_7s_1 \\ &= s_7s_1.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let i, j, k, l be four points in the Fano Plane (Figure 1) such that no three of them are collinear, then*

$$s_i s_j = s_k s_l.$$

Proof. It is enough to show $s_5 s_7 = s_3 s_4$. We compute $s_4 s_7 t_2$ in two different ways:

$$\begin{aligned} s_4(s_7 t_2) &= s_4 \delta_{2,7} t_9 s_7 = \delta_{2,7} s_5 t_9 s_7 \\ &= \delta_{2,7}\delta_{2,5} t_2 s_5 s_7 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s_4 s_7 t_2 &= s_7(s_4 t_2) = s_7 \delta_{2,4} t_9 s_4 \\ &= \delta_{2,4} s_3 t_9 s_4 = \delta_{2,4}\delta_{2,3} t_2 s_3 s_4. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta_{2,7}\delta_{2,5} = \delta_{2,4}\delta_{2,3}$ we are finished. □

Lemma 3.4. *If i, j, k are collinear in the Fano Plane (Figure 1), then*

$$s_i s_j s_k = \mathrm{Id}\,.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{N}$ acts transitively on the set of lines in the Fano plane, it is enough to show $s_1 s_5 s_7 = \mathrm{Id}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 s_5(s_7 t_4) &= s_1 s_5(\delta_{4,7} t_{11} s_7) = \delta_{4,7} s_3(s_2 t_{11}) s_7 \\ &= \delta_{4,7} s_3 \delta_{2,4} t_4 s_2 s_7 = \delta_{4,7}\delta_{2,4}\delta_{1,4} t_{11} s_1 s_2 s_7 \\ &= t_{11} s_1 s_2 s_7. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3, we know $s_1 s_2 = s_4 s_7$. Thus

$$s_1 s_5 s_7 t_4 = t_{11}(s_1 s_2) s_7 = t_{11} s_4 s_7 s_7 = t_4$$

and we conclude $s_1 s_5 s_7 = \mathrm{Id}$. □

The following corollary follows immediately from Lemma 3.4 and Relation (3).

Corollary 3.1. *For i, j, k collinear in the Fano Plane (Figure 1), there exists an involution $\sigma_{i,j}$ such that*

$$t_i t_j t_k = \sigma_{i,j} t_i t_j t_{k+7}.$$

The multiplication table for the s_i is given by Figure 1. For example, $s_7 s_2 = s_3$ as these points are collinear. We conclude

Theorem 3.1. $\mathcal{M} \cong 2^3 : L_3(2)$.

Proof. Follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4. □

3.3. **Two more families of relations.** The relation $(xt)^8$ can be written as $t_7t_1t_2t_3 = xt_7t_6t_5t_4$ Conjugating by $\mathcal{N}$ gives us another family of relations:

$$t_it_jt_kt_l = \epsilon_{ip}t_it_pt_qt_r, \tag{4}$$

where the permutation ϵ_{ip} is determined, as indicated, by t_it_p. This follows since $t_p \neq t_{i+7}$ and so the two point stabilizer is trivial.

We devote the rest of this section to proving the existence of the following relation

$$\gamma_{i,j,k} = t_it_jt_kt_it_j, \tag{5}$$

where i, j, k are distinct and collinear on the Fano plane, see Figure 1. We will need a lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *There exists $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $t_{14}t_6t_3t_{11} = \sigma t_5t_3t_8t_{14}$.*

Proof. We use Relation (4):

$$\begin{aligned}
xt_{14}t_6 &= t_7t_1t_2t_3t_4t_5(t_6t_7t_{14})t_6 \\
&= t_7t_1t_2t_3t_4t_5(\delta_{6,7}s_7s_6) \\
&= \delta_{6,7}t_{14}t_9t_8t_5t_{11}(t_3s_4) \\
&= \delta_{6,7}t_{14}t_9t_8t_5t_{11}(\delta_{3,4}s_4t_{10}) \\
&= \delta_{6,7}\delta_{3,4}t_9t_{14}t_1t_{13}(t_4s_4)t_{10} \\
&= \delta_{6,7}\delta_{3,4}t_9t_{14}t_1t_{13}t_{11}t_{10}
\end{aligned}$$

and multiply both sides by t_3t_{11}:

$$\begin{aligned}
xt_{14}t_6t_3t_{11} &= \delta_{6,7}\delta_{3,4}t_9t_{14}t_1t_{13}(t_{11}t_{10}t_3)t_{11} \\
&= \delta_{6,7}\delta_{3,4}t_9t_{14}t_1t_{13}(\delta_{3,4}s_3t_4)t_{11} \\
&= \delta_{6,7}t_5t_{13}t_8(t_{14}s_1) \\
&= \delta_{6,7}t_5t_{13}t_8\delta_{1,7}s_1t_7 \\
&= \delta_{6,7}\delta_{1,7}t_{12}t_{11}t_8t_7
\end{aligned}$$

and now multiplying both sides by $t_{14}t_8$:

$$\begin{aligned}
xt_{14}t_6t_3t_{11}t_{14}t_8 &= \delta_{6,7}\delta_{1,7}t_{12}t_{11}(t_8t_7t_{14})t_8 \\
&= \delta_{6,7}\delta_{1,7}t_{12}t_{11}(\delta_{1,7}s_7s_1) \\
&= \delta_{6,7}t_5(t_{10}s_5) \\
&= \delta_{6,7}t_5(\delta_{3,5}s_5t_3) \\
&= \delta_{6,7}\delta_{3,5}t_{12}s_5t_3 \\
&= \delta_{6,7}\delta_{3,5}t_5t_3
\end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.2. *Relation (5) holds.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} t_{14}t_9t_8t_5 &= \sigma t_5t_3t_8t_{14} \\ t_9t_{14}t_9t_8t_5 &= \sigma t_3t_8t_{14} \\ \alpha_{9,14}t_9t_{14}t_8t_5 &= \sigma t_3t_8t_{14} \\ t_9t_{14}t_8t_5 &= \alpha_{9,14}\sigma t_3t_8t_{14} \\ t_{14}t_8t_5 &= \alpha_{9,14}\sigma t_8t_{14}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t_{14}t_8t_5 = \sigma_{14,8}t_{14}t_8t_{12}$, relation 5 now follows by conjugation. □

4. Double Coset Enumeration Over $\mathcal{M}$

Double coset enumeration is the main tool for working with symmetric presentations of finite groups. An introduction can be found in [Cur07], see also the papers [BC06], [BC10a], [Fai11b]. The primary purpose of double coset enumeration, at least in this paper, will be to find an upper bound on the size of $\mathcal{G}$. A secondary, but not always useful, purpose is that the enumeration process also furnishes a (collapsed) Cayley Graph on which our group acts. This graph sometimes contains useful information about the group, e.g. how the symmetric generators act on the cosets. In this paper, we use the Cayley Graph will be used to show $\mathcal{G}$ is simple.

One typically enumerates the double cosets of the form $\mathcal{N}\omega\mathcal{N}$, where ω a word in the t_is. This paper will enumerate double cosets of the form $\mathcal{M}\omega\mathcal{N}$. Although $\mathcal{M}$ is only eight times larger than $\mathcal{N}$, the work becomes substantially easier by replacing $\mathcal{N}$ with $\mathcal{M}$ in the enumeration. Using a larger group is not uncommon, see [BC10b] and [Wie03].

Recall, for two words ω_1 and ω_2 in the t_is, we define $\omega_1 \sim \omega_2$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}\omega_1 = \mathcal{M}\omega_2$. Denote by $[\omega]$ the double coset $\mathcal{M}\omega\mathcal{N}$, i.e. the orbit of $\mathcal{M}\omega$ under the right action of $\mathcal{N}$. For example, we write $[t_7] = \mathcal{M}t_7\mathcal{N}$.

Unfortunately, this subject is naturally computationally intensive. We will use relations frequently and it can get very confusing. With this in mind, we subscript the $\sim$ symbol when using relations. This seems to be the simplest way to be clear about when we are using which relation without further cluttering the computation.

For example

$$t_7t_1t_8 \sim_3 t_1t_8t_{14} = s_1t_{14} \sim t_{14}.$$

Here we have used Relation (3). The last $\sim$ follows by the definition of $\mathcal{M}$. We will freely absorb the elements s_i into $\mathcal{M}$ without mention.

4.1. Cosets of length 1 and 2.

Let $[\star]$ denote the coset $\mathcal{M}$. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is transitive, there is a single orbit. We choose 7 as the orbit representative giving us a new double coset $[t_7]$.

4.1.1. $[t_7]$.

Since $s_7 = t_7t_{14} \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $t_7 \sim t_{14}$ and so

$$\mathcal{N}^{(7)} \geq \langle \mathcal{N}^7, (2,13)(3,4)(5,12)(6,9)(7,14)(10,11) \rangle. \tag{6}$$

The two orbits of $N^{(7)}$ are $\{7,14\}$ and $\{1,...,6,8,...,13\}$. We shoose the orbit representatives 7 and 1.

The $\{7,14\}$ orbit goes back and the remaining orbit gives a new double coset $[t_7t_1]$.

4.1.2. $[t_7t_1]$. Using Relation (3) we have

$$t_7t_1t_8 \sim_3 \delta_{1,8,14}s_8t_{14} \sim t_{14} \sim t_7.$$

Thus $t_7t_1 \sim t_7t_8$ and we already have $t_7t_1 \sim t_{14}t_1$ so the coset stabilizer expands

$$N^{(7,1)} \geq \langle (2,13)(3,4)(5,12)(6,9)(7,14)(10,11), \\ (1,8)(2,10)(3,9)(4,6)(5,12)(11,13)\rangle. \tag{7}$$

Since $|\mathcal{N}^{(7,1)}| \geq 4$, there are at most 42 cosets of length 2. The 5 orbits of $\mathcal{N}^{(71)}$ are $\{\{1,8\},\ \{5,12\},\ \{7,14\},\ \{2,10,11,13\},\ \{3,4,6,9\}\}$. We choose the orbit representatives 1, 2, 3, 7, 12.

The $\{1,8\}$ orbit evidently goes back. Relation (1) gives $t_7t_1t_7 \sim_1 t_7t_1 \in [t_7t_1]$. Relation (5) gives $t_7t_1t_{12} \sim_5 t_1t_7 \in [t_7t_1]$. The remaining two orbits give the two new double cosets $[t_7t_1t_2]$ and $[t_7t_1t_3]$.

4.2. **Cosets of length 3.** We consider the extensions of $\mathcal{M}t_7t_1t_2$ and $\mathcal{M}t_7t_1t_3$.

4.2.1. $[t_7t_1t_2]$*:* In $[t_7t_1t_2]$, we have $t_7t_1t_2 \sim t_5t_1t_9$. Indeed, using Relation (3):

$$t_7(t_1s_2) \sim_3 (t_7\delta_{1,2})s_2t_8 \sim (t_5s_2)t_8 \sim_3 t_{12}t_1 \sim_6 t_5t_1.$$

Thus the coset stabilizer is nontrivial:

$$\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,2)} \geq \langle (2,9)(3,11)(4,10)(5,7)(6,13)(12,14)\rangle. \tag{8}$$

Since $|\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,2)}| \geq 2$, the number of elements in $[t_7t_1t_2]$ is at most 84. The orbits of $\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,2)}$ are: $\{\{1\},\{8\},\{2,9\},\{3,11\},\{4,10\},\{5,7\},\{6,13\},\{12,14\}\}$. We use the following orbit representatives: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12:

t_1: Using Relation (1) we have:

$$t_7t_1t_2t_1 \sim_1 t_7\alpha_{1,2}t_1t_2 \sim t_{11}t_1t_2 \sim_6 t_4t_1t_2 \in [t_7t_1t_3].$$

t_2: It goes back: $t_7t_1t_2t_2 = t_7t_1 \in [t_7t_1]$.

t_5: We claim $t_7t_1t_2t_5 \sim t_8t_5t_{11}t_3 \in [t_7t_1t_2t_3]$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}(t_7t_1t_2)t_5t_3t_{11}t_5t_8 &\sim_4 t_7t_6t_5t_4(t_3t_5t_3)t_{11}t_5t_8\\ &\sim_1 t_7t_6t_5t_4\alpha_{3,5}t_3t_5t_{11}t_5t_8\\ &\sim t_{14}t_6(t_3t_{11}t_3)t_5t_{11}t_5t_8\\ &\sim_1 t_{14}t_6\alpha_{3,11}t_3t_{11}t_5t_{11}t_5t_8\\ &\sim_1 t_{12}t_{13}t_3(t_{11}t_5t_{11}t_5)t_8\\ &\sim t_{12}t_{13}t_3\alpha_{5,11}t_{11}t_8\\ &\sim t_4(t_8t_{10}t_{11})t_8\\ &\sim_5 t_4\gamma_{8,10,11}t_{10}\\ &\sim t_3t_{10} \sim \star.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying on the right by $t_8t_5t_{11}t_3$ completes the claim.

t_6: Using Relation (5) we have:

$$t_7(t_1t_2t_6) \sim_5 t_7\gamma_{1,2,6}t_2t_1 \sim t_4t_2t_1 \sim t_{11}t_2t_1 \in [t_7t_1t_2].$$

t_8: Using Relation (2) we have:

$$t_7(t_1t_2t_8) \sim_2 t_7\beta_{1,2,8}t_1t_9 \sim t_{12}t_1t_9 \sim_6 t_5t_1t_9 \in [t_7t_1t_2].$$

t_{12}: We show $t_7t_1t_2t_{12} \in [t_7t_1t_3t_7]$. When we discuss $[t_7t_1t_2t_3]$ in Section 4.3.1, we show $[t_7t_1t_3t_7] = [t_7t_1t_2t_3]$. In Section 4.2.2, we show $t_7t_1t_3 \sim t_{12}t_1t_{10}$. Hence, $t_{12}t_1t_{10}t_7 \in [t_7t_1t_2t_3]$ and so it is enough to prove $t_7t_1t_2t_{12} \in [t_{12}t_1t_{10}t_7]$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}(t_7t_1t_2)t_{12} &\sim_4 (t_7t_6)t_5t_4t_3t_{12} \sim_5 t_6t_7(t_4t_5t_4)t_3t_{12}\\ &\sim_1 t_6t_7\alpha_{4,5}t_4(t_5t_3t_{12}) \sim t_{13}t_{10}t_4\beta_{5,3,12}t_5t_{10}\\ &\sim t_6(t_5t_8t_5)t_{10} \sim_1 t_6\alpha_{5,8}t_5t_8t_{10}\\ &\sim t_{13}t_5t_8t_{10} \in [t_{12}t_1t_{10}t_7].\end{aligned}$$

The remaining orbits yield two new double cosets $[t_7t_1t_2t_3]$ and $[t_7t_1t_2t_4]$.

4.2.2. $[t_7t_1t_3]$. The proof of the relation $t_7t_1t_3 \sim t_{12}t_1t_{10}$ is analgous to the relation $t_7t_1t_2 \sim t_5t_1t_9$ proved in Section 3.2.1. We conclude

$$\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,3)} \geq \langle (2,6)(3,10)(4,11)(5,14)(7,12)(9,13)\rangle. \tag{9}$$

Since $|\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,3)}| \geq 2$, the number of elements in $[t_7t_1t_3]$ is at most 84.

The orbits of $\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,3)}$ are: $\{1\}, \{8\}, \{2,6\}, \{3,10\}, \{4,11\}, \{5,14\}, \{7,12\}, \{9,13\}$. We use the orbit representatives: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9.

t_1: Using Relation (1) we have:

$$t_7(t_1t_3t_1) \sim_1 t_7\alpha_{1,3}t_1t_3 \sim t_{13}t_1t_3 \sim_6 t_6t_1t_3 \in [t_7t_1t_3].$$

t_2: We have

$$\begin{aligned}t_7t_1t_3t_2 &\sim_6 (t_{14}t_1)t_3t_2 \sim_{2,6} t_{14}t_1(t_7t_3t_2)\\ &\sim_5 t_{14}t_1\gamma_{7,3,2}t_3t_7 \sim t_9t_6t_3t_7\\ &\sim_6 t_2t_6t_3t_7 \in [t_7t_1t_2t_3].\end{aligned}$$

t_3: It goes back: $t_7t_1t_3t_3 = t_7t_1 \in [t_7t_1]$.

t_4: We have

$$\begin{aligned}(t_7t_1t_3)t_4 &\sim_{9,6} t_5(t_1t_{10}t_4) \sim_5 t_5\gamma_{1,10,4}t_{10}t_1\\ &\sim t_9t_{10}t_1 \in [t_7t_1t_3].\end{aligned}$$

t_5: We show $t_7t_1t_3t_5 \sim t_2t_4t_6t_1 \in [t_7t_1t_2t_3]$. By conjugating relation (4) we get:

$$\sigma t_1t_3t_5t_7 = t_1t_6t_4t_2 \tag{10}$$

where $\sigma = (1,6,4,2,7,5,3)(8,13,11,9,13,12,10)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}t_7t_1t_3t_5(t_1t_6t_4t_2) &\sim_{10} t_7t_1t_3t_5\sigma t_1t_3t_5t_7\\ &\sim t_5t_6(t_1t_3t_1t_3)t_5t_7\\ &\sim_1 t_5t_6\alpha_{1,3}t_1t_5t_7\\ &\sim (t_{12}t_{14})t_1t_5t_7\\ &\sim_7 (t_5t_7t_1t_5t_7)\\ &\sim_5 \star.\end{aligned}$$

t_7: We compute

$$\begin{aligned}(t_7t_1)t_3t_7 &\sim_2 t_7t_8t_{14}(t_3t_7) \sim_2 t_7t_8\beta_{14,3,7}t_{14}t_{10}\\ &\sim (t_{10}t_{11})t_{14}t_{10} \sim_1 t_{10}t_{11}(t_{10}t_{14}t_{10})\\ &\sim_1 t_{10}t_{11}\alpha_{14,10}t_{10}t_{14} \sim (t_{14}t_4t_{10})t_{14}\\ &\sim_8 t_{12}t_{10}t_4t_{14}.\end{aligned}$$

The last $\sim$ follows as $t_{14}t_4t_{10} \in [t_7t_1t_2]$ and so we can use (8) to see $t_{14}t_4t_{10} \sim t_{12}t_{10}t_{14}$. Finally, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}t_{12}t_{10}(t_4s_7) &\sim_3 t_{12}t_{10}\delta_{4,14,7}s_7t_{11} \sim t_9(t_1s_7)t_{11}\\ &\sim_3 t_{12}\delta_{1,7}s_7t_8t_{11} \sim (t_6s_7)t_8t_{11}\\ &\sim_3 (t_{13}t_8)t_{11} \sim_7 t_{13}t_1t_{11} \in [t_7t_1t_2].\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $t_7t_1t_3t_7 \sim t_{12}t_{10}t_4t_{14} \sim t_{13}t_1t_{11}t_7 \in [t_7t_1t_2t_3]$ as desired.

t_8: Lastly, we compute:

$$(t_7t_1)t_3t_8 \sim_7 t_7(t_8t_3t_8) \sim_1 t_7\alpha_{8,3}t_8t_3 \sim t_7t_1t_3 \in [t_7t_1t_3].$$

The remaining orbit gives a new double coset $[t_7t_1t_3t_9]$.

4.3. **Cosets of length 4.** We consider the extensions of $\mathcal{M}t_7t_1t_2t_3$, $\mathcal{M}t_7t_1t_2t_4, \mathcal{M}t_7t_1t_3t_9$.

4.3.1. $[t_7t_1t_2t_3]$*:* We have the relation $t_7t_1t_2t_3 \sim t_{14}t_6t_2t_{10}$. This is proved using the same technique as the relation $t_7t_1t_2 \sim t_5t_1t_9$ from Section 4.2.1 and so is omitted. The relation expands the coset stabilizer

$$\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,2,3)} \geq \langle (1,6)(3,10)(4,12)(5,11)(7,14)(8,13)\rangle. \tag{11}$$

Since $|\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,2,3)}| \geq 2$, the number of elements in $[t_7t_1t_2t_3]$ is at most 84. The orbits of $\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,2,3)}$ are: $\{2\}, \{9\}, \{1,6\}, \{3,10\}, \{4,12\}, \{5,11\}, \{7,14\}, \{8,13\}$. We choose the orbit representatives: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9:

t_2: Using Relation (1) we have:

$$t_7t_1(t_2t_3t_2) \sim_1 t_7t_1\alpha_{2,3}t_2t_3 \sim t_7t_{12}t_2t_3.$$

Multiplying on the right by t_7 gives

$$(t_7t_1t_2t_3t_2)t_7 \sim t_7t_{12}(t_2t_3t_7) \sim_5 t_7t_{12}\gamma_{2,3,7}t_3t_2 \sim (t_3t_{13}t_3)t_2 \sim_1 t_3t_{13}t_2.$$

Hence,

$$t_7t_1t_2t_3t_2 \sim t_3t_{13}t_2t_7 \in [t_7t_1t_2t_3].$$

t_3: It goes back: $t_7t_1t_2t_3t_3 = t_7t_1t_2 \in [t_7t_1t_2]$.

t_4: Using Relation (4):

$$(t_7t_1t_2t_3t_4) \sim_4 t_7t_6t_5 \sim_7 t_7t_{13}t_{15} \in [t_7t_1t_2].$$

t_5: Using Relation (4):

$$(t_7t_1t_2t_3)t_5 \sim_4 t_7t_6(t_5t_4t_5) \sim_1 t_7t_6\alpha_{5,4}t_5t_4 \sim t_{10}t_{13}t_5t_4.$$

Now if we multiply on the right by $t_4t_3t_6$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}(t_7t_1t_2t_3t_5)t_4t_3t_6 &\sim t_{10}t_{13}t_5t_4t_4t_3t_6 \sim t_{10}t_{13}(t_5t_3t_6)\\ &\sim_5 t_{10}t_{13}\gamma_{5,3,6}t_3t_5 \sim t_{12}t_{10}t_3t_5\\ &\sim t_{12}s_3t_5 \sim_2 \star.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $t_7t_1t_2t_3t_5 \sim t_6t_3t_4 \in [t_7t_1t_3]$.

t_6: We have just seen that $t_7t_1t_2t_3t_5 \sim t_6t_3t_4$ and so $t_7t_1t_2t_3t_6 \sim t_6t_3t_4t_5t_6$. By Relation (4) $t_3t_4t_5t_6 = xt_3t_2t_1t_7$ which gives $t_6(t_3t_4t_5t_6) \sim t_6xt_3t_2t_1t_7 \sim t_7t_3t_2t_1t_7$. Now we have:

$$\begin{aligned} t_7t_1t_2t_3t_6 &\sim (t_7t_3t_2)t_1t_7 \sim_5 t_3(t_7t_1t_7) \sim_1 t_3\alpha_{7,1}t_7t_1 \\ &\sim t_{13}t_7t_1 \sim_6 t_6t_7t_1 \in [t_7t_1t_2]. \end{aligned}$$

t_7: We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (t_7t_1t_2t_3)t_7 &\sim_{11} t_{14}t_6(t_2t_{10}t_7) \sim_2 t_{14}t_6\beta_{2,10,7}t_{10}t_2 \\ &\sim t_3t_5t_{10}t_2 \sim t_{12}(t_5t_3t_5)t_{10}t_2 \\ &\sim_1 t_{12}\alpha_{5,3}5(t_3t_{10})t_2 \sim t_{10}(t_5s_3)t_2 \\ &\sim_3 t_{10}\delta_{5,3}s_3t_{12}t_2 \sim (t_3s_3)t_{12}t_2 \\ &\sim_3 t_{10}t_{12}t_2 \sim_7 t_3t_5t_2 \in [t_7t_1t_3] \end{aligned}$$

t_8: We proved $t_7t_1t_2t_3t_6 \sim t_6t_7t_1$ above. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} t_7t_1t_2t_3t_8 &\sim (t_7t_1t_2t_3t_6)t_6t_8 \sim t_6t_7(t_1t_6t_8) \\ &\sim_2 t_6t_7\beta_{1,6,8}t_1t_{13} \sim_2 (t_8t_{11}t_1)t_{13} \\ &\sim t_8t_4t_{13} \in [7,1,3]. \end{aligned}$$

t_9: Using Relation (2):

$$\begin{aligned} t_7t_1(t_2t_3t_9) &\sim_2 t_7t_1\beta_{2,3,9}t_2t_{10} \sim t_{14}t_{13}t_2t_{10} \\ &\sim_7 t_{14}t_6t_2t_{10} \in [t_7t_1t_2t_3]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the coset $[t_7t_1t_2t_3]$. There are no new cosets.

4.3.2. $[t_7t_1t_3t_9]$. We first prove $t_7t_1t_3t_9 \sim t_{14}t_{11}t_3t_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} t_7t_1(t_3s_2)t_3 &\sim_3 t_7t_1\delta_{3,9}s_2s_3 \sim t_{14}(t_{11}s_7) \\ &\sim_3 t_{14}\delta_{11,7}s_7t_4 \sim t_7s_7t_4 \\ &\sim t_{14}t_4 \sim_6 t_{14}t_{11}. \end{aligned}$$

This adds the permutation

$$(1,11)(2,9)(4,8)(5,6)(7,14)(12,13)$$

to the coset stabilizer.

We also have the relation $t_7t_1t_3t_9 \sim t_9t_{12}t_{10}t_1$. Indeed, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (t_7t_1)t_3t_9 &\sim_4 t_7t_6t_5t_4(t_3t_2t_3)t_9 \sim_1 t_7t_6t_5t_4\alpha_{2,3}(t_3t_2t_9) \\ &\sim_5 t_7t_{13}\gamma_{8,11,3}t_{11}t_8s_2 \sim t_2t_{13}t_{11}t_8s_2. \\ &\sim_3 t_2t_{13}t_{11}\delta_{8,2}s_2t_1 \sim t_9t_6(t_3s_2)t_1 \\ &\sim_3 t_9t_6\delta_{3,2}s_2t_{10}t_1 \sim t_2(t_5s_2)t_{10}t_1 \\ &\sim_3 t_2\delta_{5,2}s_2t_{12}t_{10}t_1 \\ &\sim t_9s_2t_{12}t_{10}t_1 \sim t_9t_{12}t_{10}t_1. \end{aligned}$$

But we already have $t_7t_1t_3t_9 \sim t_{12}t_1t_{10}t_9$ as $t_7t_1t_3 \sim t_{12}t_1t_{10}$ and so we add

$$(1,12,9)(2,8,5)(4,13,14)(6,7,11)$$

to the coset stabilizer.

We conclude

$$\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,3,9)} \geq \langle (1,11)(2,9)(4,8)(5,6)(7,14)(12,13), \\ (1,12,9)(2,8,5)(4,13,14)(6,7,11)\rangle. \tag{12}$$

Since $|\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,3,9)}| \geq 12$, the number of cosets in $[t_7t_1t_3t_9]$ is at most 14. The orbits of $N^{(7,1,3,9)}$ are: $\{\{3\},\{10\},\{1,2,4,...,9,11,...,14\}\}$. We choose the orbit representatives: 3, 9, 10.

t_3: We have:

$$t_7t_1(t_3t_9t_3) \sim_1 t_7t_1\alpha_{39}t_3t_9 \sim t_7t_8t_3t_9 \\ \sim_7 t_7t_1t_3t_9 \in [t_7t_1t_3t_9].$$

t_9: It goes back: $t_7t_1t_3t_9t_9 = t_7t_1t_3 \in [t_7t_1t_3]$.

t_{10}: We have $t_7t_1t_3t_9t_{10} \sim t_7t_8t_{10}t_6 \in [t_7t_1t_2t_4]$.

$$(t_7t_1t_3t_9)t_{10} \sim_{12} t_5t_2(t_3t_{13}t_{10}) \sim_2 t_5t_2\beta_{3,13,10}t_3t_6 \\ \sim t_{12}t_8t_3t_6 \sim_8 t_7t_8t_{10}t_6 \in [t_7t_1t_2t_4].$$

The last $\sim$ follows by using the relation $t_{12}t_8t_3 \sim t_7t_8t_{10} \in [t_7t_1t_2]$.

This completes the coset $[t_7t_1t_3t_9]$. There are no new cosets.

4.3.3. $[t_7t_1t_2t_4]$. The double coset $[t_7t_1t_2t_4]$ has the relations $t_7t_1t_2t_4 \sim t_3t_7t_2t_{12}$ and $t_7t_1t_2t_4 \sim t_4t_{10}t_2t_{13}$. These are proved in an analagous way to the relations in $[t_7t_1t_3t_9]$. The coset stabilizer expands to:

$$\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,2,4)} \geq \langle (1,13)(3,7)(4,11)(5,12)(6,8)(10,14), \\ (1,10,12)(3,5,8)(4,13,7)(6,14,11)\rangle. \tag{13}$$

Since $|\mathcal{N}^{(7124)}| \geq 12$, the number of cosets in $[t_7t_1t_2t_4]$ is at most 14. The orbits of $\mathcal{N}^{(7124)}$ are: $\{\{2\},\{9\},\{1,3,...,8,10,...,14\}\}$. We choose the orbit representatives: 2, 4, 9.

t_2: We have

$$(t_7t_1t_2t_4)t_2 \sim_{13} t_{13}t_{12}(t_2t_7t_2) \sim_1 t_{13}t_{12}\alpha_{2,7}t_2t_7 \\ \sim t_5t_6t_2t_7 \sim_6 (t_{12}t_6t_2)t_7 \\ \sim_9 t_3t_6t_9t_7 \in [t_7t_1t_3t_9].$$

t_4: It goes back $t_7t_1t_2t_4t_4 = t_7t_1t_2 \in [t_7t_1t_2]$.

t_9: We have

$$t_7t_1(t_2t_4t_9) \sim_2 t_7t_1\beta_{2,4,9}t_2t_{11} \sim t_{10}t_6t_2t_{11} \\ \sim_7 t_3t_{13}t_2t_{11} \in [t_7t_1t_2t_4].$$

As there are no new cosets, we have completed the double coset enumeration process.

4.4. **Cayley graph and coset table.** We can represent the work in this section with a collapsed Cayley graph, see Figure 2. The circles represent double cosets and lines represent multiplication by t_is. The numbers inside of the circles represent the number of single cosets within the double coset, while the numbers on the outside of the circles indicate the number of t_is going to the next double coset.

Label $[\omega]$	Coset Stabilizing Subgroup $\mathcal{N}^{(\omega)}$	Number of cosets
$[\star]$	$\mathcal{N}$	1
$[t_7]$	$\mathcal{N}^{(7)} \cong \Sigma_4$	7
$[t_7t_1]$	$\mathcal{N}^{(7,1)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	42
$[t_7t_1t_2]$	$\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,2)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$	84
$[t_7t_1t_3]$	$\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,3)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$	84
$[t_7t_1t_2t_3]$	$\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,2,3)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$	84
$[t_7t_1t_2t_4]$	$\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,2,4)} \cong A_4$	14
$[t_7t_1t_3t_9]$	$\mathcal{N}^{(7,1,3,9)} \cong A_4$	14

Table 1: Double Cosets $[\omega] = \mathcal{M}\omega\mathcal{N}$




FIGURE 2. Collapsed Cayley Graph of $\mathcal{G}$ Over $\mathcal{M}$

5. Main Result

5.1. $\mathcal{G} \cong M_{22}$**.** We use Iwasawa's Lemma and the transitive action of $\mathcal{G}$ on the set of single cosets $\Omega := \{\mathcal{M}\omega\}$ to prove $\mathcal{G}$ is simple of order 443,520. By [CCN$^+$85] and [Par70] there is only one simple group of order 443,520, the Mathieu Group M_{22}. We will conclude that $\mathcal{G} \cong M_{22}$.

Lemma 5.1. *The order of $\mathcal{G}$ is 443,520. Furthermore, $\mathcal{G}$ acts faithfully on $\Omega = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Since Ω is a transitive $\mathcal{G}$-set of degree 330, $|\mathcal{G}| \leq 330|\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{M}}|$, where $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is the stabilizer of the single coset M. The inequality is due to the fact there could be additional collapsing that we are unaware of. But $\mathcal{M}$ is only stabilized by elements of $\mathcal{M}$. Hence $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M}$ and $|\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{M}}| = |\mathcal{M}| = 1344$. We conclude $|\mathcal{G}| \leq 443,520$. By Corollary 2.1 we have $|\mathcal{G}| \geq 443520$ and so equality follows. □

Lemma 5.2. *$\mathcal{G}$ is perfect.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}'$ denote the commutator subgroup. Since $\mathcal{G} = \langle \mathcal{N}, t \rangle$ and $\mathcal{N}$ is simple, we have $\mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{G}'$. It therefore is sufficient to show $t_7 \in \mathcal{G}'$. Applying Relation (1) we get $t = t_7 \sim \alpha_{1,7}[t_7, t_1] \in \mathcal{G}'$ and we are finished. □

Lemma 5.3. *The point stabilizer, $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{M}} \leq \mathcal{G}$, possesses a normal Abelian subgroup $\mathcal{K}$ whose conjugates generate $\mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K} = \langle s_7, s_1, s_2 \rangle \leq \mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M}$ and let $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ be the normal closure of $\mathcal{K}$ in $\mathcal{G}$.

Using Relation (3), we have $t_1 t_8 = \delta_{5,1} t_5 t_1 t_8 t_{12}$. Using Relation (3)

$$s_1^{t_5} = t_5(t_1 t_8)t_5 = t_5 \delta_{5,1} t_5 t_1 t_8 t_{12} t_5 = \delta_{5,1} s_1 s_5 = \delta_{5,1} s_7 \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Since $s_7 s_1^{t_5} \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}$ we conclude $\delta_{5,1} \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}$. Since $\delta_{5,1}$ is an involution and all involutions are conjugate in $\mathcal{N}$, we know $y \in \mathcal{N}$.

Conjugating the relation $y = t_1 t_{12} t_1 t_{12} t_1$ by $t_1 t_{12}$, yields $t_1 \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}$. Since the conjugacy class of y is transitive, we have $t_1, ..., t_{14} \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}$. Hence, $x = t_7 t_6 t_5 t_4 t_3 t_2 t_1 t_7 \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}$. Finally, we have $\bar{\mathcal{K}} = \langle x, y, t_1 \rangle = \mathcal{G}$. □

Lemma 5.4. *The group $\mathcal{G}$ acts primitively on Ω.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nontrivial block. The action of $\mathcal{G}$ on Ω is transitive so we may assume $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{B}$. The action of $\mathcal{N}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ therefore preserves $\mathcal{B}$ as $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}$.

Since $\mathcal{B}$ is nontrivial, there exists a word ω in the t_is such that $\mathcal{M}\omega \neq \mathcal{M}$. There are two possibilities for such an ω. It is either in $[t_7]$ or it is not. If it is in $[t_7]$, then $\mathcal{B}$ is stabilized by all of the t_is and is therefore trivial.

Suppose ω is not in $[t_7]$, then the action of $\mathcal{N}$ preserves $\mathcal{B}$, so we get the entire double coset $\omega \in [\omega] \subset \mathcal{B}$. Each of the double cosets, distinct from $[\star], [t_7]$ are stabilized by a t_i. It follows that $\mathcal{B}$ is stabilized by right multiplication by t_i for all i. Hence, $\mathcal{B} = \Omega$ and so $\mathcal{B}$ is trivial. □

At last, we apply Iwasawa's Lemma:

Proof of Main Theorem. Lemmas 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, and Iwasawa's lemma imply $\mathcal{G}$ is simple.

Since $|\mathcal{G}| = 443,520$, we have $\mathcal{G} \cong M_{22}$ by [CCN+85], and [Par70]. □

6. Maximal Subgroups of M_{22}

6.1. **The presentation.** The presentation we have constructed for M_{22} is

$$\begin{aligned} M_{22} = \langle x, y, t \mid\ & x^7, y^2, (xy)^3, [x,y]^4, \\ & t^2, [t^{x^2}, yx^{-1}], [t,y], \\ & (yt^{x^2})^5, (xyx^2t^x)^5, (xt)^8 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

where the first line of relations corresponds to the control group, $L_3(2)$, the first and second corresopnd to the progenitor $2^{\star 14} : L_3(2)$, and finally all three define M_{22}. We will identify our presentation with the one from Remark 2.1 using the permutations therein.

6.2. **Maximal subgroups.** The maximal subgroups of M_{22} are classified. There are 8 conjugacy classes of subgroups inside M_{22}. We have the following representatives of conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups in terms of our presentation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle x, t^{x^6 t}\rangle &\cong L_3(4);\\
\langle xt, y^{x^3}\rangle &\cong 2^4 : A_6;\\
\langle x, t^{xtx^4 t}\rangle &\cong A_7;\\
\langle x, t^{x^3 t x^6 t}\rangle &\cong A_7;\\
\langle xt, t^{xyx^4}\rangle &\cong 2^4 : S_5;\\
\langle x, y, tt^{x^6 yx}\rangle &\cong 2^3 : L_3(2);\\
\langle x^2 t, y^{x^4}\rangle &\cong M_{10};\\
\langle xy, t\rangle &\cong L_2(11).
\end{aligned}$$

24	14	17	11	22	19
23	8	4	13	1	9
3	20	16	7	12	5
15	18	10	2	21	6

Table 2: The MOG Labeling.

Indeed, we can use the MOG array as described in [Cur76] with the labelling in Table 2 to see these isomorphisms. The following can be checked by hand using the permutations in Remark
2.1:

$L_3(4)$: the stabilizer of $\{4\}$;
$2^4 : A_6$: the stabilizer of the hexad $\{5, 8, 9, 10, 17, 18\}$;
A_7: the stabilizer of the heptad $\{1, 8, 12, 14, 15, 17, 20\}$;
A_7: the stabilizer of the heptad $\{5, 6, 11, 16, 19, 20, 21\}$;
$2^4 : S_5$: the stabilizer of the pair $\{5, 18\}$;
$2^3 : L_3(2)$: was proven earlier; however, it is the stabilizer of the octad $\{2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 13, 18, 22\}$;
M_{10}: the stabilizer of the dodecad $\{2, 4, 5, 11, 12, 16, 18, 19, 20, 22\}$;
$L_2(11)$: the stabilizer of the endecad $\{1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 17, 22\}$.

6.3. **Covering groups as homomorphic images.** The progenitor $2^{\star 14} : L_3(2)$ has at least two other important homomorphic images related to M_{22}. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \cdot M_{22} &\cong \frac{2^{\star 14} : L_3(2)}{(xyx^2t^x)^5, (xt)^8};\\
3 \cdot M_{22} &\cong \frac{2^{\star 14} : L_3(2)}{(xyx^2t^x)^5, (yt^{x^2})^5}.
\end{aligned}$$

These isomorphisms can be checked with a computer algebra software. It would be interesting to see if the other two covers, $6 \cdot M_{22}$ and $12 \cdot M_{22}$, appear as homomorphic images of this progenitor as well.

References

[BC06] J. D. Bradley and R. T. Curtis. Symmetric generation and existence of $J_3 : 2$, the automorphism group of the third Janko group. *J. Algebra*, 304(1):256–270, 2006.

[BC10a] J. D. Bradley and R. T. Curtis. Symmetric generation and existence of McL : 2, the automorphism group of the McLaughlin group. *Comm. Algebra*, 38(2):601–617, 2010.

[BC10b] John N. Bray and Robert T. Curtis. The Leech lattice Λ and the Conway group $\cdot$O revisited. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(3):1351–1369, 2010.

[CCN+85] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson. *Atlas of finite groups: Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups*. Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985.

[CF09] R. T. Curtis and B. T. Fairbairn. Symmetric representation of the elements of the Conway group $\cdot$0. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 44(8):1044–1067, 2009.

[CH96] R. T. Curtis and Z. Hasan. Symmetric representation of the elements of the Janko group J_1. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 22(2):201–214, 1996.

[Cur76] R. T. Curtis. A new combinatorial approach to M_{24}. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 79(1):25–42, 1976.

[Cur92] R. T. Curtis. Symmetric presentations. I. Introduction, with particular reference to the Mathieu groups M_{12} and M_{24}. In *Groups, combinatorics & geometry (Durham, 1990)*, volume 165 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 380–396. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[Cur93] R. T. Curtis. Symmetric presentations. II. The Janko group J_1. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 47(2):294–308, 1993.

[Cur07] Robert T. Curtis. *Symmetric generation of groups*, volume 111 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007. With applications to many of the sporadic finite simple groups.

[Fai11a] Ben Fairbairn. Recent progress in the symmetric generation of groups. In *Groups St Andrews 2009 in Bath. Volume 2*, volume 388 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 384–394. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.

[Fai11b] Ben Fairbairn. Symmetric presentations of Coxeter groups. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 54(3):669–683, 2011.

[Iwa41] Kenkiti Iwasawa. Über die endlichen Gruppen und die Verbände ihrer Untergruppen. *J. Fac. Sci. Imp. Univ. Tokyo. Sect. I.*, 4:171–199, 1941.

[Mat61] E. Mathieu. Memoire sur létude des fonctions de plusieurs quantités sur la manieére de les former et sur les substitutions qui les laissent invariable. *Liouville J. (2)*, 18:241–323, 1861.

[Mat73] E. Mathieu. Sur la fonction cinq fois transitive de 24 quantités. *Liouville J. (2)*, 18:25–47, 1873.

[Par70] David Parrott. On the Mathieu groups M_{22} and M_{11}. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 11:69–81, 1970.

[Wie03] Corinna Wiedorn. A symmetric presentation for J_1. *Comm. Algebra*, 31(3):1329–1357, 2003.

[Wil09] Robert A. Wilson. *The finite simple groups*, volume 251 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2009.

[Wit37] Ernst Witt. Die 5-fach transitiven gruppen von mathieu. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 12(1):256–264, 1937.

ZH: Department of Mathematics, California State University at San Bernardino, San Bernardino, CA 92407, USA

E-mail address: zhasan@csusb.edu

BL: Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA

E-mail address: bcl@uoregon.edu